

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2017-052655

01/24/2019

HONORABLE THEODORE CAMPAGNOLO

CLERK OF THE COURT  
K. Hartley  
Deputy

MICHAEL BERENT, et al.

KRISTIN M. ROEBUCK

v.

GRAZYNA MROCZKOWSKA, et al.

DEBRA S BROCKWAY

MARIA R KUPILLAS  
JEFFREY T MURRAY  
JUDGE CAMPAGNOLO

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court is in receipt of Plaintiffs' Consolidated Response to Defendant Bell West Ranch Homeowners Association's Motion for Summary Judgment and Reply in Support of Plaintiffs' Partial Motion for Summary Judgment filed January 18, 2019.

The above stated pleading combines a response to the Motion for Summary Judgment and a Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. The Court's protocol regarding motions states:

*"This division requires that all motions, responses, replies and other Court requested filings in this case must be submitted individually. The parties shall not combine any motion with a responsive pleading. All motions are to be filed separately and designated as such. No pleadings will be accepted if filed in combination with another."*

For the foregoing reasons,

**IT IS ORDERED** rejecting the above pleading.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2017-052655

01/24/2019

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Plaintiff shall refile by separate pleadings, the Response to Defendant Bell West Ranch Homeowners Association's Motion for Summary Judgment and Reply in Support of Plaintiffs' Partial Motion for Summary Judgment no later than **10 days** from today's date.

**\*\*Counsel please review the information below\*\***

Becoming familiar with the Court's requirements is crucial, failure to comply with any of the requirements can and will delay any resolution to the issue.

Counsel are encouraged to visit Judge Campagnolo's online profile for information on the Court's expectations regarding motion practices and requirements, discovery disputes, and hearing/trial procedures at the following website:

<http://www.superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/JudicialBiographies/judges/profile.asp?jdgID=327&jdgUSID=12118>

Pay particular attention to the following:

- The Court will reject any motion that is not accompanied by a proposed form or order in **word format**.
- All motions, including, but not limited to, summary judgment motions, responses and replies, that **contain 5 or more exhibits** shall require the filing party to do the following:
  - Within 24 hours of filing a motion/response/reply that contains 5 or more exhibits, the filing party is required to provide to the Court a copy of the respective motion, response or reply along with all exhibits on a CD.
  - Each pleading on the CD shall contain hyperlinks to all exhibits attached to your pleading and to all substantive appellate cases cited therein (you do not need to provide hyperlinks to boilerplate case law, unless it is an unusual or obscure citation). The hyperlink to exhibits is crucial, so that the Court can readily locate an exhibit without undue delay.
- This division requires that all motions, responses, replies and other pleadings in this case must be **submitted individually**. The parties shall not combine any motion with a responsive pleading. All motions are to be filed **separately** and designated as such. No pleadings will be accepted if filed in combination with another.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2017-052655

01/24/2019

- Any motion that requires a Rule 7.1(h) Certificate of Good Faith Consultation, which does not include such Certificate, will be held until compliance is met.
  
- **Dismissal Calendar**  
The Court will reject any request to place or continue a matter on the inactive calendar. As of April 15, 2014 the civil court's "*inactive*" calendar was replaced with a "*dismissal*" calendar. Any request must refer to the **Dismissal Calendar**, as the inactive calendar no longer exist in civil cases.