

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2024-003271

01/07/2026

HONORABLE SUSANNA C. PINEDA

CLERK OF THE COURT
T. Williams
Deputy

VAL VISTA CLASSIC COMMUNITY
ASSOCIATION

NIKITA VERMA PATEL

v.

LEVI ROSENBAUM

LEVI ROSENBAUM
1639 E CHELSEA LN
GILBERT AZ 85295

JUDGE PINEDA

ORDER ENTERED BY THE COURT

The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's Application for Attorneys' Fees for the Defense Against the Dismissed Counterclaims filed on November 18, 2025. In it, they seek attorneys' fees totaling \$17,674.00. They also filed their Statement of Costs. Defendant opposes the requests for attorneys' fees, arguing that Plaintiff's motion contains block billing, is duplicative, and would cause him hardship. The Court notes that Defendant is disabled and apparently receives financial assistance to maintain his housing, including paying his HOA fees. On December 9, 2025, Plaintiff filed its "Supplemental Affidavit Regarding Attorneys' Fees, increasing the amount of attorneys' fees sought to \$19,549.50.

The Court notes that on August 8, 2025, the Court granted Plaintiff's Motion and permitted Plaintiff to seek attorneys' fees. Generally, a party must file their motion for attorneys' fees *within* 20 days from the clerk's mailing of the Court's decision on the matter. *See* Rule 54, Ariz. R. Civ. P.; *King v. Titsworth*, 221 Ariz. 597 (App. 2009). Plaintiff did not file its Application for Attorneys' Fees until November 18, 2025, more than three months after the Court's ruling had issued. Plaintiff has also not sought an extension of time to do so.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2024-003271

01/07/2026

Because Plaintiff's Application is untimely,

IT IS ORDERED denying Plaintiff's Application for Attorneys' Fees for the Defense Against the Dismissed Counterclaims without prejudice subject to the outcome of Plaintiff's claim against Defendant.