

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2013-003636

03/14/2014

HONORABLE LISA DANIEL FLORES

CLERK OF THE COURT
S. Uppendahl
Deputy

CHAUNCEY RANCH OFFICE
CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION

QUINTEN T CUPPS

v.

NORTH SCOTTSDALE PAIN CENTER L L C,
et al.

SCOTT H ZWILLINGER

D ROSAS INTERIOR ARCHITECTURE
DESIGN GROUP
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD
DINA ROSAS
5958 E CORRINE DR
SCOTTSDALE AZ 85254
JOE ROSAS
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court received the parties' proposed scheduling order, filed March 11, 2014.

After review of the docket, the Court notes that the complaint in this matter was filed April 18, 2013 and involves a dispute over the placement of a door which Defendant admits to installing without Association approval, which was required by the CC&R's. The Court already denied Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, and Plaintiff recently filed its own motion for summary judgment.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2013-003636

03/14/2014

Despite the age and posture of the case, the parties proposed a scheduling order that would allow discovery to continue until February 2, 2015 and to allow dispositive motions to be filed as late as January 20, 2015. If these deadlines are adopted by the Court, the case likely would not be set for trial until the summer of 2015. The Court requests that the parties revisit these deadlines to determine whether they truly need another nine months to file dispositive motions and another ten months to complete discovery. If so, the parties must provide an explanation of complexity of the issues or other good cause for the additional time. If not, the parties may propose a different schedule. If neither an explanation nor a new schedule is filed by April 4, 2014, the proposed scheduling order, filed March 11, 2014, will be rejected.

Effective April 15, 2014 new civil rules and forms are in effect for managing cases moving to trial. Be sure to review the new Civil Rules 16, 26, 37, 38, 72 through 74 and 77.