

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2018-013307

03/11/2019

HONORABLE CONNIE CONTES

CLERK OF THE COURT  
K. Cabral/S. Brown  
Deputy

STEPHEN S EDWARDS

STEPHEN S EDWARDS  
16030 S 36TH ST  
PHOENIX AZ 85048

v.

QUINTEN T CUPPS, et al.

JOHN L CONDREY

CONNIE TOTORICA GOULD  
JUDGE CONTES

**MINUTE ENTRY**

In connection with the above-referenced matter, the court rules as follows:

1. *Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (against Defendant Eileen Rosel).*

The court has read and considered the motion (filed Nov. 16, 2018) and response/cross-motion for summary judgment (filed Dec. 21, 2018). No further briefing has been filed, nor is any needed. Based upon the court's minute entry dated March 5, 2019 (granting defendant Rosel's motion to dismiss),

**IT IS ORDERED** denying as moot plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment and defendant Rosel's cross-motion for summary judgment.

2. *Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (against Defendants Lakewood Community Association and Quinten T. Cupps).*

The court has read and considered the motion (filed Jan. 22, 2019). A response has not been filed, nor is one needed. Based upon the court's minute entry dated March 6, 2019 (granting defendants Association and Cupps' motions to dismiss),

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2018-013307

03/11/2019

**IT IS ORDERED** denying as moot plaintiff's motion for summary judgment.

3. Plaintiff's Request for an Order to Show Cause (regarding Defendant Quinten T. Cupps).

The court has read and considered the request (filed Jan. 22, 2019), which seeks an Order to Show Cause why defendant Cupps should not be disbarred. A response has not been filed, nor is one needed. Under the Arizona Constitution, the power to regulate the practice of law (including the admission and disbarment of attorneys) is given to the Arizona Supreme Court, not the superior court. *In re Bailey*, 30 Ariz. 407, 411-14 (1926). Based thereon,

**IT IS ORDERED** denying plaintiff's request for an order to show cause why defendant Cupps should not be disbarred.

4. Plaintiff's Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss (as to Defendants Lakewood Community Association and Quinten T. Cupps) "Under the Following Conditions."

The court has read and considered the motion (filed Dec. 6, 2018). A response has not been filed, nor is one needed.

**IT IS ORDERED** denying same as not cognizable under Arizona Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b) or 41(a).

5. Plaintiff's Brief in Support of Judgment (against Defendant Lakewood Community Association for "Non Compliance" with A.R.S. § 33-1807(k)).

The court has read and considered the brief (filed Feb. 25, 2019). A response has not been filed, nor is one needed. To the extent the brief requests the court grant plaintiff relief against defendant Association, based upon the court's minute entry dated March 6, 2019 (granting defendant Association's motion to dismiss),

**IT IS ORDERED** denying same.