

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2019-014610

03/22/2021

HONORABLE RANDALL H. WARNER

CLERK OF THE COURT  
C. Ladden  
Deputy

ROSEVIEW HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION

CHARLENE A CRUZ

v.

GEORGE W MOORE JR., et al.

GEORGE W MOORE JR.  
13272 W VENTURA ST  
SURPRISE AZ 85379

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE  
RESOLUTION - CCC  
JUDGE WARNER

MINUTE ENTRY

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order electronically signed by the Court on March 19, 2021 and filed (entered) by the Clerk on March 23, 2021,

**IT IS ORDERED** setting a Trial Setting Conference on **November 2, 2021 at 9:00 a.m.** (**time allotted: 15 minutes**) in this division for the purpose of setting trial, if the case is ready to set trial. This matter will be heard by video/audio conference using **Court Connect**. Court Connect is the Superior Court in Maricopa County's new video court hearing platform. For more information about Court Connect, please visit: <https://superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/court-connect>.

**A Court Connect video link will be emailed to counsel of record (or self-represented parties) the day before the hearing.** All persons are strongly urged to appear by video instead of audio alone. For questions, please call Judge Warner's division at 602.372.2966, or email Courtroom Assistant Rebekah Richardson at [Rebekah.Richardson@JBAZMC.Maricopa.gov](mailto:Rebekah.Richardson@JBAZMC.Maricopa.gov).

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2019-014610

03/22/2021

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, no less than **five (5) days** before the conference set above, the parties shall file a joint status report containing (1) a very brief description of the case; (2) a statement of the status of discovery and other pertinent matters; (3) a list of pending motions or other matters, whether at issue or not; (4) the status of alternative dispute resolution; (5) when the parties anticipate the case will be ready for trial; and (6) how many trial days the parties estimate will be needed.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the parties shall participate in a mandatory settlement conference. This case is referred to the Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Department for the appointment of a judge *pro tempore* to conduct a settlement conference. The judge *pro tempore* is requested to conduct the settlement conference no later than **June 30, 2021**. ADR will issue a notice of appointment of judge *pro tempore* no later than 90 days prior to the deadline to conduct the settlement conference.

Unless the Judge *Pro Tempore* orders otherwise, all parties and counsel of record, must appear in person at the settlement conference.

All counsel and their clients, or non-lawyer representatives who have full and complete authority to settle the case, and all self-represented parties must personally appear and participate in good faith in the settlement conference even if no settlement is expected. Sanctions may be imposed for failure to participate.

**NOTE:** All Court proceedings are recorded digitally and not by a court reporter. Pursuant to Local Rule 2.22, if a party desires a court reporter for any proceeding in which a court reporter is not mandated by Arizona Supreme Court Rule 30, the party must submit a written request to the assigned judicial officer at least ten (10) judicial days in advance of the hearing, and must pay the authorized fee to the Clerk of the Court at least two (2) judicial days before the proceeding. The fee is \$140 for a half-day and \$280 for a full day.

**NOTE:** Due to the spread of COVID-19, the Arizona Supreme Court Administrative Order 2020-79 requires all individuals entering a Court facility to wear a mask or face covering at all times they are in the Court facility. With limited exceptions, the Court will not provide masks or face coverings. Therefore, any individual attempting to enter the Court facility must have an appropriate mask or face covering to be allowed entry to the Court facility. Any person who refuses to wear a mask or face covering as directed will be denied entrance to the Court facility or asked to leave. In addition, all individuals entering a Court facility will be subject to a health screening protocol. Any person who does not pass the health screening protocol will be denied entrance to the Court facility.