

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2001-002724

04/12/2005

HONORABLE J. RICHARD GAMA

CLERK OF THE COURT
S. Brown
Deputy

FILED: 04/19/2005

ROBERT NELSON, et al.

ELLIOT G WOLFE

v.

GRAYHAWK PROPERTIES LLC, et al.

JOSEPH B SWAN JR.

MICHAEL A LUDWIG

PRETRIAL STATUS CONFERENCE SET

This Court having received this case on reassignment,

IT IS ORDERED setting this matter for pretrial status conference on **July 5, 2005 at 9:00 a.m.** in this division.

HONORABLE J. RICHARD GAMA
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
MARICOPA COUNTY
101 WEST JEFFERSON
EAST COURT BUILDING, 6TH FLOOR
COURTROOM/SUITE 611
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
602-506-1245

The Court has set aside **15 minutes** for this conference. If all counsel feel significantly more or less time is required for the conference, the Court should be notified immediately.

All counsel are directed to meet personally no later than **10 days prior** to the Pretrial Conference, with their respective calendars, to discuss all of the matters set forth in Rules 16(a) and (b), A.R.C.P. Counsel shall prepare and file with the Court no later than **5 days prior** to the pretrial conference, **with a copy delivered to this division, a Joint Pretrial Statement** addressing at least the following items (due date: **June 28, 2005**):

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2001-002724

04/12/2005

1. An agreed-upon schedule for completion of discovery and a list of all discovery that has been completed through **July 5, 2005**. As far as can reasonably be anticipated, each party shall set forth the depositions they anticipate taking and the approximate time required for each; any and all medical examinations which may be required of any of the parties; the person or persons to conduct such examinations; all requests for production; and all tangible evidence to be disclosed or exchanged.

2. A date for the simultaneous disclosure of expert witnesses.

3. A date or dates for the disclosure of all non-expert witnesses.

4. The number of additional non-uniform interrogatories requested by each party and the reasons for the requests.

5. The position of each counsel on whether the Rule 38.1 A.R.C.P. time limits should be waived.

6. A proposed date for a mandatory settlement conference pursuant to Rule 16.1, A.R.C.P.

7. An agreed-upon date for compliance with Rule 16(d), A.R.C.P.

8. A proposed trial date agreed upon by all counsel.

If counsel are unable to agree on any of the items in the Pretrial Statement, the reasons for their inability to agree shall be set forth in the Pretrial Statement.

Counsel are reminded that the Court will impose sanctions against counsel and/or their clients for failure to participate in good faith in the Pretrial Statement for the pretrial conference.