

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2009-054217

04/05/2010

JUDGE PRO TEM STEPHEN KUPISZEWSKI

CLERK OF THE COURT  
R. Tomlinson  
Deputy

MARY BISHARA

MARY BISHARA  
17422 N 100TH PL  
SCOTTSDALE AZ 85255

v.

CHAUNCEY RANCH INVESTORS L L C, et al. JAMES PATRICK ARMSTRONG

MINUTE ENTRY

The Motion for Security for Costs having been under advisement, the Court rules as follows:

**IT IS ORDERED** denying Defendants' Motion for Security for Costs without prejudice.

The Court heard statements of the Plaintiff that she did not have the ability to give security, but these statements are not persuasive. Therefore,

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff shall file, **within ten (10) days of the date of entry of this order**, an affidavit pursuant to the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure 67(e) to give **strict** proof of her inability to give the security. Should Defendants object to the affidavit, once filed, the Court will set a time when the Plaintiff shall be examined orally as to the inability to give such security. In the event that Plaintiff fails to file her affidavit within the time allowed, the Court will set bond in the amount of \$1,500.00 upon notice by the Defendants that the Plaintiff has failed to comply with this order.