

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2015-090214

04/22/2015

HON. MARK F. ACETO

CLERK OF THE COURT

M. Scott

Deputy

SUNDANCE RESIDENTIAL HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION INC

JAVIER B DELGADO

v.

CLOVER L EDMISTON, et al.

CLOVER L EDMISTON

23312 W HOPI ST

BUCKEYE AZ 85326

ROBERT D EDMISTON

23312 W HOPI ST

BUCKEYE AZ 85326

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE

RESOLUTION - CCC

MINUTE ENTRY

On March 24, 2015, defendants filed a document entitled "Response to Plaintiff's Petition for Relief". Under the circumstances, on court's own motion,

IT IS ORDERED extending to **May 26, 2015** the deadline for defendants to file answers or to otherwise properly respond to plaintiff's complaint. The court notes that it is quite unlikely that this deadline will be further extended.

The court notes that the "Response to Plaintiff's Petition for Relief" filed by defendants on March 24, 2015 does not satisfy the requirements of Rule 8(b), is not an answer or other proper response, and will not prevent entry of default against any defendant who does not file a timely answer or other proper response.

The court makes note of the following:

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2015-090214

04/22/2015

- Defendant Robert Edmiston is now representing himself. Regarding the claims against him, Defendant Robert Edmiston now has all of the obligations and responsibilities that would otherwise be the obligations and responsibilities of counsel.
- Defendant Clover Edmiston is now representing herself. Regarding the claims against her, Defendant Clover Edmiston now has all of the obligations and responsibilities that would otherwise be the obligations and responsibilities of counsel.
- The court does not expect to delay the progression of this case. Thus, if either defendant intends to retain counsel, it can truly be said that time is of the essence regarding efforts to do so.
- If a party fails to obey a scheduling or pretrial order, or if no appearance is made on behalf of a party at a Scheduling Conference, Pretrial Conference, or Trial Management Conference, sanctions may be imposed. For example, such sanctions against a defendant could include striking any answer filed by that defendant and allowing the plaintiff to proceed against that defendant by default.

SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE ORDERED

IT IS ORDERED as follows:

- The parties shall participate in a mandatory Settlement Conference by **August 14, 2015**. This case is referred to the Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution Office for appointment of a judge *pro tempore* to conduct a settlement conference. Counsel and/or the parties will receive a minute entry from ADR appointing the judge *pro tempore*. Counsel and "pro per" parties will contact the appointed judge *pro tempore* to arrange the date, time, and location for the settlement conference. The judge *pro tempore* is requested to conduct a settlement conference no later than **August 14, 2015**. The Office of Alternative Dispute Resolution will not do the scheduling of the settlement conference so please do not contact that office.

If the parties decide to use a private mediator, they must forthwith advise the Office of Alternative Dispute Resolution and any judge *pro tempore*

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2015-090214

04/22/2015

who has been assigned to conduct the settlement conference.
Additionally, private mediation must be completed by the deadline
established above.

**All counsel and their clients, or non-lawyer representatives who have
full and complete authority to settle the case, shall personally appear
and participate in good faith in the Settlement Conference/Mediation.
Sanctions may be imposed for failure to participate.**

/ s / HON. MARK F. ACETO

JUDICIAL OFFICER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT