

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2018-052668

04/14/2021

HONORABLE THEODORE CAMPAGNOLO

CLERK OF THE COURT
J. Holguin
Deputy

ROWLEY FAMILY TRUST, THE, et al.

JUSTIN R COOLEY

v.

DOVE VALLEY RANCH COMMUNITY
ASSOCIATION, et al.

B AUSTIN BAILLIO

AMANDA E NELSON
JUDGE CAMPAGNOLO

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has received Defendants Dove Valley Ranch Community Association and Michael D. Schwartz's Volume of Exhibits in Support of Dove Valley Ranch Community Associations' Statement of Facts in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, filed on March 3, 2021, which the Court cannot consider because the Motion does not comply with the Court's protocol on bookmarking/hyperlinking exhibits.

IT IS ORDERED rejecting Defendants Dove Valley Ranch Community Association and Michael D. Schwartz's Volume of Exhibits in Support of Dove Valley Ranch Community Associations' Statement of Facts in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, filed on March 3, 2021 for failure to comply with the Court's protocol on bookmarking/hyperlinking exhibits.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants shall refile the said Motion in compliance with the Court's protocol on bookmarking/hyperlinking exhibits no later than **April 28, 2021**.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2018-052668

04/14/2021

****Counsel please review the information below****

Motions with Exhibits: Bookmarking and hyperlinking in pleadings is encouraged. See Rule 5.2(c)(3)(A), Ariz. R. Civ. P. All e-filed pleadings, including, but not limited to, summary judgment pleadings, that contain more than 5 exhibits shall contain bookmarks/hyperlinks to all exhibits attached to your pleading(s). All bookmarks/hyperlinks must be contained in your e-filed pleading in pdf format. The bookmarking/hyperlinking to exhibits is crucial, so that the Court can readily locate an exhibit without undue delay. The Court does not want hard copies of the exhibits, and the Court does not want the materials on thumb drive or email. DO NOT bookmark or hyperlink case citations. Any bookmarks/hyperlinks to any websites or the internet (e.g., Westlaw) will not work. Failure to provide the bookmarks/hyperlinks as required above will result in the issuance of a Minute Entry rejecting the pleading. The party will be required to efile a new pleading with proper bookmarking/hyperlinking no later than 14 days after the filing of the Minute Entry rejecting the original pleading. The Court reserves the right to disallow any pleadings that are not re-efiled within 14 days. You may obtain further information on e-filing with bookmarks/hyperlinks under Section 2.03(b) of the following webpage:
<https://efiling.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov/efilingguidelines/#formattofileddocuments>

I am aware that some attempted bookmarkings/hyperlinkings may be unsuccessful due to software incompatibility with the Clerk's software, or because the documents' size exceeds the Clerk's capacity. In those situations, upon the filing of a notice to that effect signed by the party's attorney, the bookmarked/hyperlinked document may be submitted to the Court on a CD.

Motion Practice: Motions, responses and related pleadings shall comply with the length and other requirements of the Rules. If an extension of response or reply time is necessary, try to reach agreement among the parties, and then submit a stipulation. If you file a motion for leave to exceed the page length of a pleading, you will need to provide specific reasons. A generic statement that you need more pages may result in denial of your motion.

This division requires that all motions, responses, replies and other Court requested filings in this case must be submitted individually. The parties shall not combine any motion with a responsive pleading. All motions are to be filed separately and designated as such. No pleadings will be accepted if filed in combination with another. Motions or stipulations shall be efiled with proposed orders. ALL proposed orders when applicable, shall be efiled in Word format.

The Court's under advisement time period shall be extended by one week due to the delay caused by the need for the amended response to be re-filed in compliance with this Court's protocols.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2018-052668

04/14/2021

NOTE: Due to the spread of COVID-19, the Arizona Supreme Court Administrative Order 2020-197 requires all individuals entering a court facility to wear a mask or face covering at all times they are in the court facility. With limited exceptions, the court will not provide masks or face coverings. Therefore, any individual attempting to enter the court facility must have an appropriate mask or face covering to be allowed entry to the court facility. Any person who refuses to wear a mask or face covering as directed will be denied entrance to the court facility or asked to leave. In addition, all individuals entering a court facility will be subject to a health screening protocol. Any person who does not pass the health screening protocol will be denied entrance to the court facility.