

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2023-016465

04/09/2024

HONORABLE FRANK W. MOSKOWITZ

CLERK OF THE COURT
S. Motzer
Deputy

RUSSELL RANCH HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION INC

KYLE A VON JOHNSON

v.

DANIEL A OCONNOR, et al.

KYLE GEIGER

JUDGE MOSKOWITZ

MINUTE ENTRY

East Court Building – Courtroom 914

9:16 a.m. This is the time set for a virtual Status Conference. Plaintiff is represented by counsel, Kyle A. Von Johnson. Defendants are represented by counsel, Kyle Geiger.

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

Counsel for both parties address the Court.

Discussion is held thereon.

Counsel for Plaintiff states that it is likely that the parties will reach a settlement, but if the parties do not reach a settlement, they wish to proceed to an evidentiary hearing.

Discussion is held thereon.

Based on the discussion held and on the agreement of counsel,

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2023-016465

04/09/2024

IT IS ORDERED setting a virtual Status Conference **on June 11, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. (15 minutes allotted).**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties participate in a mandatory settlement conference. **This case is referred to the Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Department for the appointment of a judge *pro tempore* to conduct a settlement conference.** The judge *pro tempore* is requested to conduct the settlement conference no later than **July 18, 2024.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than **April 19, 2024**, the parties file with the Court a Joint Request and Certification of Readiness for Setting Settlement Conference Under Rule 16(i), Ariz. R. Civ. P.

The Joint Request and Certification of Readiness for Setting Settlement Conference Under Rule 16(i), Ariz. R. Civ. P. is available through the Law Library Resource Center website: <https://tinyurl.com/CV-JointReq-English>; <https://tinyurl.com/CV-JointReq-Spanish>

Failure to file a Joint Request and Certification of Readiness for Setting Settlement Conference will result in the Court vacating the ADR referral for appointment of a judge *pro tempore*, with leave for the parties to seek another ADR referral upon completion of the certification process.

9:29 a.m. Matter concludes.