

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2014-090909

05/14/2015

COMMISSIONER MARGARET BENNY

CLERK OF THE COURT

T. Haaser

Deputy

LAKE PARK VILLAGE I HOMEOWNERS  
ASSOCIATION

JASON N MILLER

v.

CIA SPYROPOULOS, et al.

CIA SPYROPOULOS

PO BOX 7880

TEMPE AZ 85281

PENTAGON FEDERAL CREDIT UNION

2930 EISNEHOWER AVE

ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC

REGISTRATION SYSTEMS INC

1901 E VOORHEES ST

SUITE C

DANVILLE IL 61834

KATHLEEN A WEBER

JUDGE UDALL

CIA SPYROPOULOS

2524 S EL PARADISO #63

MESA AZ 85202

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has reviewed (1) the Order Confirming Entry of Default of Defendant Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. (MERS) and Pentagon Federal Credit Union filed on April 17, 2015, (2) the signed minute entry of April 22, 2015 setting aside the Order Confirming Entry of Default Judgment as to Defendant Pentagon Federal Credit Union, (3)

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2014-090909

05/14/2015

Order confirming Entry of Default of Defendant Pentagon Federal Credit Union on May 11, 2015, as well as (4) the proposed Judgment and Decree of Foreclosure and Order of Sale, which deals with Defendant Cia Spyropoulos, MERS and Pentagon Federal Credit Union.

Upon closer review of the signed and proposed orders, it is evident that the language of the orders is confusing even to those familiar with the case. For instance, the orders of default judgment against MERS and Pentagon Federal Credit Union are entitled "Orders Confirming Entry of Default". An entry of default is not the same as an entry of default judgment. *Default judgments* were granted by the court as to defendants MERS and Pentagon Federal Credit Union. Further, because one judicial officer handled the motion for summary judgment regarding Defendant Cia Spyropoulos and another judicial officer handled the motions for entry of default judgment as to Defendants MERS and Pentagon Federal Credit Union, the order entering judgment against Defendant Cia Spyropoulos should not add additional orders against the other defendants. Each order of judgment against each defendant should include all orders against that defendant.

THEREFORE, for good cause appearing,

**IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiff shall immediately amend its orders as to MERS, Pentagon Federal Credit Union and the proposed order as to Cia Spyropoulos. There shall be a separate order filed addressing each defendant separately, as judgment was entered against each defendant separately on different dates. The amended orders shall correct the titles of the orders and reflecting the relevant hearing dates of the order as to that defendant. Each amended order should also include the foreclosure and sheriff's sale language as to each defendant.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** signing this minute entry as a formal order of this Court.

/ s / COMMISSIONER MARGARET BENNY

---

JUDICIAL OFFICER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT