

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2023-001102

05/25/2023

HONORABLE SUSANNA C. PINEDA

CLERK OF THE COURT
E. Wolf
Deputy

DANIEL MAYER, et al.

DAVID ERIC WOOD

v.

SCOTTSDALE NORTH HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION INC

BRADLEY R JARDINE

JUDGE PINEDA

MINUTE ENTRY

East Court Building – Courtroom 913

11:04 a.m. This is the time set for a virtual Status Conference regarding Defendant's *Request for Status Conference regarding Mediation*, filed April 24, 2023, and all other pleadings thereto. Plaintiffs, Daniel Mayer and Jeffery A. Dangelo, are represented by counsel of record, David Eric Wood. Defendant, Scottsdale North Homeowners Association, Inc., is represented by counsel of record, Bradley R. Jardine. All participants appear virtually via Court Connect.

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

Discussion is held regarding the status of the case, the separate matter before the Arizona Department of Real Estate (“ADRE”), and separate civil rights violation claim.

The Parties stipulate to participate in mediation.

Therefore, based upon the Parties' stipulation, and discussion held on the record,

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2023-001102

05/25/2023

IT IS ORDERED that the Parties shall file a Joint Report and proposed form of Scheduling Order which shall include a deadline for mediation within ten (10) business days, by no later than **June 9, 2023**.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT that, consistent with the recommendation set forth in Comment 5 to Rule 2.11, Arizona Rules of Judicial Conduct, to “disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might reasonably consider relevant to a possible motion for disqualification,” out of an abundance of caution, and to permit counsel an opportunity to confer with their clients outside of the presence of the Court pursuant to Rule 2.11, Arizona Rules of Judicial Conduct, this judicial officer makes the following disclosures:

1. This judicial officer presided over a criminal matter in which Plaintiff’s counsel, David Eric Wood, was the representing attorney for the Attorney General’s Office.

Additional disclosures are made by Defendant regarding a prior counsel within the law firm having worked with this judicial officer sometime during her tenancy at the Attorney General’s Office.

The parties have no objections to this judicial officer presiding over this matter.

11:20 a.m. Matter concludes.

The Arizona Constitution requires the Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review to conduct performance evaluations of superior court judges. The Commission is asking for your help to evaluate Maricopa County Superior Court judges currently undergoing performance review. After your hearing, if the judge you are in front of is undergoing review, a survey will be emailed to you, and you can take the survey online. The survey is conducted by the Docking Institute of Public Affairs at Fort Hays State University and is anonymous and confidential. Your participation in the review process is important! More information on Judicial Performance Review can be found at www.azjudges.info.

La Constitución de Arizona exige que la Comisión de la Evaluación del Desempeño Judicial realice evaluaciones de desempeño de los jueces de los tribunales superiores. La comisión pide su ayuda para evaluar a los jueces del Tribunal Superior del Condado de Maricopa a quienes actualmente se les está evaluando su desempeño. Después de su audiencia, si el juez ante el cual comparece está sometido a una evaluación se le enviará por correo electrónico una encuesta que usted podrá tomar por Internet. La encuesta es realizada por el Docking Institute of Public Affairs de la Fort Hays State University y se mantiene anónima y confidencial. ¡Su participación en el proceso de la evaluación es importante! Para obtener más información sobre la evaluación del desempeño judicial, diríjase a www.azjudges.info.