

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2006-008033

06/11/2008

HON. EDWARD O. BURKE

CLERK OF THE COURT
L. Nixon
Deputy

UNITED METRO MATERIALS INC

FRANCES J HAYNES

v.

REQUIP L L C, et al.

WILLIAM J SIMON
SEAN P ST CLAIR

MINUTE ENTRY

The court has received and reviewed Plaintiff's Supplemental Reply In Support Of Motion For Award Of Attorneys' Fees And Taxable Costs or, in the Alternative, Motion For Reconsideration, and Defendants' Response.

The court, hereby revises its award of attorneys fees awarded to Plaintiff from \$200,312.65 to \$235,456.15, to eliminate most of its prior discount attributable to the issue of "block billing". The court finds that Arizona law does not require an automatic reduction in attorneys fees for block billing. Orfaly v. Tucson Symphony Society, 209 Ariz. 260, 99 P.3d 1030 (App. 2004) and State v. Gravano, 210 Ariz. 101, 108 P.3d 251 (App. 2005).