

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2018-001666

06/04/2019

HON. ROSA MROZ

CLERK OF THE COURT
S. Perez
Deputy

ESTATES AT SIERRA VISTA COMMUNITY
ASSOCIATION

CHANDLER W TRAVIS

v.

STEVE H VIGIL JR., et al.

STEVE H VIGIL JR.
3116 W DESERT LN
LAVEEN AZ 85339

MICHELE N VIGIL
3116 W DESERT LN
LAVEEN AZ 85339
JUDGE MROZ

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has considered Plaintiff's Supplemental Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs filed on May 9, 2019. Defendants did not file a Response.

Plaintiff's request is based on the attorneys' fees and costs it has incurred since it submitted its original application for attorneys' fees and costs because Plaintiff had to respond to Defendants' Motion to Change Prevailing Party filed on February 28, 2019. Although Plaintiff did not cite or quote the rule, Plaintiff is essentially asking the Court to amend the signed Judgment, which contains the Rule 54(c) language certifying that no further matters are pending. The Court will treat Plaintiff's Supplemental Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs as a motion to amend the Judgment entered on April 8, 2019.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2018-001666

06/04/2019

“A motion to alter or amend a judgment must be filed no later than 15 days after the entry of judgment. This deadline may not be extended by stipulation or court order, except as allowed by Rule 6(b)(2).” Civil Rule 59(d). The Judgment was entered on April 8, 2019. Plaintiff filed the motion on May 9, 2019. This is more than 15 days after the entry of judgment. Accordingly, the motion to amend the judgment is untimely.

IT IS ORDERED denying Plaintiff’s Supplemental Application for Attorneys’ Fees and Costs filed on May 9, 2019.