

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2025-064908

06/03/2026

HONORABLE QUINTIN CUSHNER

CLERK OF THE COURT
A. Hayes
Deputy

JASJEET KAUR

JASJEET KAUR
20100 N 51ST AVE
STE F 635
GLENDALE AZ 85308

v.

JOHN YORK, et al.

JOHN ERIC YORK

BARRY M AYLSTOCK
JONATHAN A DESSAULES
CHANDLER W TRAVIS
FABIAN ZAZUETA
JUDGE Q CUSHNER

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has reviewed the *Second Amended Complaint* filed on May 25, 2026. The Court previously held the pending motions to dismiss and motions to strike in abeyance pending filing of a consolidated *Second Amended Complaint*.

To promote efficiency and avoid full re-briefing, the Court will permit defendants who have made an appearance in this matter and who previously filed motions directed to the prior operative pleading to re-urge those motions, subject to optional supplemental briefing limited to changes and new allegations in the *Second Amended Complaint*.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2025-064908

06/03/2026

IT IS ORDERED that the pending motions to dismiss and motions to strike are no longer held in abeyance, and the Court sets the following procedure for streamlined supplemental briefing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any defendant who has appeared in this matter who intends to rely on a previously filed motion to dismiss or motion to strike shall file a Notice identifying the specific motion(s) being renewed and stating that the motion(s) are asserted against the *Second Amended Complaint* to the extent applicable. Any such Notice shall be filed within 14 days of entry of this *Minute Entry*. Any Notice regarding previously filed motions that was filed before entry of this *Minute Entry* is deemed compliant with this requirement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any defendant filing a Notice may file, at the same time, a supplemental memorandum not exceeding five (5) pages, limited solely to (1) identifying the allegations in the *Second Amended Complaint* that are new or materially changed as to that defendant, and (2) explaining whether and how those changes may affect the previously filed motion. No new arguments unrelated to changes or new allegations in the *Second Amended Complaint* may be raised in the supplemental memorandum. No new exhibits may be attached without leave of Court. If no supplemental memoranda are filed, the Court will rule on the pending motions based on the existing motions and briefing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff may file one consolidated supplemental response to all supplemental memoranda, not exceeding twenty (20) pages, within 14 days after the last supplemental memorandum is filed. Plaintiff's consolidated supplemental response shall be limited to the issues raised in the supplemental memoranda and the new or materially changed allegations in the *Second Amended Complaint*.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any reply to Plaintiff's consolidated supplemental response shall be limited to five (5) pages per defendant and shall be filed within 7 days after Plaintiff's consolidated supplemental response is filed. Replies shall be limited to the issues raised in the supplemental memoranda and Plaintiff's consolidated supplemental response.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that except for the limited supplemental briefing authorized above, the Court will consider the previously filed motions and prior briefing already filed by the parties as applicable to the *Second Amended Complaint* to the extent the allegations and issues are unchanged.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall serve Defendants Aaron Ludwig and Andrew Meier in accordance with Arizona Rule of Civil Procedure 4 and file proof of service. Defendants Ludwig and Meier's time to respond shall run from the date of service.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2025-064908

06/03/2026

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court will determine whether oral argument is necessary after the supplemental briefing is complete.