

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2004-020236

08/16/2005

HON. PAUL A KATZ

CLERK OF THE COURT
B. Navarro
Deputy

FILED: 08/22/2005

ASTRAGAL CONDOMINIUM UNIT OWNERS ASSOCIATION KAREN R FROSTROM

v.

ASTRAGAL L L C, et al.

WENDY N WEIGAND

PRETRIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE SET
and
CONTINUED ON THE INACTIVE CALENDAR

The Court has received the parties' Joint Request to Return Case to the Active Calendar and Request for Rule 16(b) Pretrial Conference.

IT IS ORDERED setting a **Pretrial Scheduling Conference** for **September 29, 2005 at 9:00 a.m.** in this division.¹

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED continuing this matter on the Inactive Calendar until **September 29, 2005**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that by **September 26, 2005**, the parties shall submit to the Court a Joint Pretrial Memorandum setting forth the following:

1. The parties proposed schedule for additional discovery including depositions and all other discovery pursuant to the rules of procedure or as agreed upon by the parties. **NOTE:** The date for disclosure of non-

¹ Effective September 12, 2005, Judge Katz will be located at the Northeast Regional Court Complex, 18380 N. 40th Street, Courtroom 111, Phoenix, AZ 85032

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2004-020236

08/16/2005

expert witnesses must be at least forty-five days before the completion of discovery.

2. The parties' proposed schedule for disclosure of expert witnesses and designation pursuant to Rule 26(b)(4). **NOTE:** The disclosure should be within ninety days of the scheduling conference except upon a showing of good cause.
3. The parties' proposed schedule for any agreed upon amendments to the pleadings and any requested amendments to the pleadings.
4. The parties' proposed date for a settlement conference.
5. The parties' proposed date for trial. **NOTE:** The parties should come to the scheduling conference with the trial calendars of the attorneys responsible for trial.

If there are any discovery disputes, they must be filed with the Court by motion at least ten days prior to the scheduling conference. A response must be filed not less than three days prior to the conference. No reply will be filed. The Court will assess any appropriate sanction if the Court finds that any party or attorney engaged in unreasonable, groundless, abusive or obstructionist discovery.

E-Courtroom Policies

Courtroom 111 is an "e-courtroom" and provides state of the art equipment for case presentation.

The e-courtroom does not have a court reporter present. With this new technology, a court reporter is likely not required and the parties are encouraged to experience the court's digital recording system before requesting a court reporter. If a court reporter is required, the court must receive a written request at least 72 hours before the commencement of the proceeding. Failure to timely request a court reporter will be deemed consent to proceed without a court reporter.

Do not attempt to set up the court equipment by yourself! In addition, please do not unplug, move, or in any way alter any of the equipment, microphones, cameras, etc. in the courtroom.