

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2006-070012

08/10/2006

HONORABLE COLLEEN MCNALLY

CLERK OF THE COURT
MARIAN K. SMITH
Deputy

ALEXANDER SEPANSKI, et al.

MATHIS BECKER

v.

SUN CITY GRAND COMMUNITY
ASSOCIATION INC, et al.

JOHN H ISHIKAWA

TELEPHONIC COMPREHENSIVE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE SET

Pursuant to Rule 16(c), Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, and Plaintiff's Request for Rule 16 Conference filed on August 8, 2006,

IT IS ORDERED setting a telephonic Comprehensive Pretrial Conference in this Division on **THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 2006, at 8:45 a.m.** (allotted time: 15 minutes).

Plaintiff's counsel shall initiate the telephonic conference and contact all parties who will participate and then call this Division at **602-506-5961** at the designated time.

If counsel for all parties feel that significantly more time than that allowed is required for the Conference, the Court should be notified immediately.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel for all parties shall meet personally before the Comprehensive Pretrial Conference to discuss all matters set forth in Rule 16(c). The parties shall file and provide this Division with a copy of a Joint Pretrial Memorandum on or before **Monday, September 25, 2006**, which addresses the following items:

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2006-070012

08/10/2006

1. An agreed-upon schedule for completion of discovery. As far as can reasonably be anticipated, each party shall set forth the depositions they anticipate taking and the approximate time required for each; any and all medical examinations which may be required of any of the parties; the person or persons to conduct such examinations; all requests for production; and all tangible evidence to be disclosed or exchanged.
2. A date for the simultaneous disclosure of expert witnesses.
3. A date or dates for the disclosure of all non-expert witnesses.
4. The number of additional non-uniform interrogatories requested by each party and the reasons for the requests.
5. The position of each counsel on whether the Rule 38.1 time limits should be waived.
6. A proposed date for a Mandatory Settlement Conference pursuant to Rule 16.1(a), Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.
7. An agreed-upon date for compliance with Rule 16(d), Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.
8. A proposed trial date agreed upon by all counsel.

If counsel are unable to agree on any of the items in the Joint Pretrial Memorandum, the reasons for their inability to agree shall be set forth in the Pretrial Memorandum.

Counsel are reminded that the Court will impose sanctions against counsel and/or their clients for failure to participate in good faith in the Joint Pretrial Memorandum or the Comprehensive Pretrial Conference.

Counsel are directed to have their trial calendars before them at the time of the Conference to assist the Court in selecting a trial date.