

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2017-094721

08/09/2019

HONORABLE DAVID J. PALMER

CLERK OF THE COURT  
K. Tiero  
Deputy

WOODMAR I V ASSOCIATION INC

CLINT G GOODMAN

v.

PAUL S RUBIN INC

JAMES A ROBLES JR.

JUDGE PALMER

MINUTE ENTRY

The court has read and considered the Motion for Reconsideration RE: April 4 Ruling, in which Paul Rubin Management (“PRM”) asked the court to reconsider its Motion for Judgment on the pleadings. In that motion, PRM had asked the court dismiss Plaintiff Woodmar IV Association’s (“Woodmar”) Complaint due to the Plaintiff’s alleged failure to comply with conditions precedent to the filing of this lawsuit. The ruling also dealt with Woodmar’s Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint which was granted by the court. In issuing its ruling the court found that any delays caused by the filing of the amended complaint were not unduly prejudicial to PRM. In that context, the court made a statement regarding delays caused by purported family court litigation involving the parties. In all candor, the court does not recall the source for that statement at this point. Nonetheless, the court does not find undue delay at this point was caused by the granting of the Motion for Leave to Amend. After considering its ruling in both of those matters,

IT IS ORDERED denying PRM’s Motion for Reconsideration.