

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2024-005957

08/05/2024

HONORABLE JOHN R. HANNAH JR

CLERK OF THE COURT
A. Walker
Deputy

PARADISE PARK CONDOMINIUMS PHASE I DANNY M FORD
I HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION

v.

GHUNCHA GUL

GHUNCHA GUL
7475 E DE LA O RD
SCOTTSDALE AZ 85255

JUDGE HANNAH

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has read and considered the plaintiff's Motion for Alternative Service as to Guncha Gul.

Rule 4.1(k)(1) requires the plaintiff to show that the means of service provided in Rule 4.1(c) through 4.1(j) are impracticable. The Court finds that four attempts to serve the defendant at the Paradise Village Parkway address are not enough to demonstrate that Rule 4.1(d) service is impracticable, given that the process server's observations indicate that someone is living at that address. The Court is also concerned that service to that address may not qualify as "a reasonable effort to provide the person being served with actual notice of the action's commencement," as required by Rule 4.1(k)(2). The neighbor said the occupant "recently moved in," and the packages

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2024-005957

08/05/2024

at the door were not addressed to the defendant, both of which suggest that the defendant may not be the current occupant.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED the Court takes no action on the Motion for Alternative Service at this time. The plaintiff may supplement the motion at any time before the expiration of the deadline for service of process as extended by the orders of this Court. If no timely supplement is received, the motion will be denied.