

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2004-070758

09/09/2005

HONORABLE COLLEEN MCNALLY

CLERK OF THE COURT
L. Glenn
Deputy

FILED: 09/21/2005

PETER WILLIAMSON, et al.

SAMUEL T CRUMP SR.

v.

SUN CITY GRAND COMMUNITY
ASSOCIATION INC

LISA A SHANNON

TRAYLOR E RICHARDSON

MINUTE ENTRY

9:00 a.m. This is the time set for Return Hearing on Defendants' Expedited Motion for Withdrawal of Admissions of Sun City Grand Community Association, Inc. and Status Scheduling Conference. Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants are represented by counsel, Samuel T. Crump, Sr., appearing telephonically. Defendant/Counterclaimant is represented by Lisa A. Shannon and Traylor E. Richardson, respectively.

A recording of this proceeding is made by CD and videotape in lieu of a court reporter.

Upon inquiry from the Court, Plaintiffs' counsel advises that he did not file a response to Defendants' Expedited Motion for Withdrawal of Admissions of Sun City Grand Community Association, Inc.

The Court notes that this matter was set for hearing on August 30, 2005 and that neither Plaintiffs' counsel nor Defendant's counsel, Lisa A. Shannon appeared.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2004-070758

09/09/2005

Discussion ensues regarding the need to resolve the issue of the admissions being addressed prior to proceeding on the Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment. Mr. Crump advises of his intent to file a response to the Motion to Withdraw Admissions, and Ms. Shannon advises that she intends to file a reply.

The parties agree that the Court will not hear further oral argument on the admissions issue, but will rule following receipt and consideration of the response and reply.

Further discussion is held regarding the scheduling of depositions.

IT IS ORDERED, upon the agreement of the parties, that all depositions in this matter will be completed by September 23, 2005, and that the amended motion for summary judgment will be filed no later than September 30, 2005.

When the Court gets the amended summary judgment motion, or alternatively, notice that no amended motion will be filed, the Court will set the matter for oral argument within 45 to 60 days.

Mr. Richardson brings up the pending Order to Show Cause and the Request for Permanent Injunction. Additionally, Mr. Richardson advises Counterclaimant may file a summary judgment motion simultaneously with the response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment.

Discussion is held.

The Court notes that its intent is to first resolve the legal issues presented, then set an evidentiary hearing on the Request for Permanent Injunction which will be consolidated with a trial on the merits.

9:20 a.m. Matter ends.