

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2014-094145

09/15/2014

HON. MARK F. ACETO

CLERK OF THE COURT  
T. Galindo  
Deputy

TAX LIEN SERVICES L L C

HEATHER MUIR HENDRIX

v.

JOSE ANGEL DE JESUS MERCADO, et al.

JOSE ANGEL DE JESUS MERCADO  
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

BELLA MONTE AT DESERT RIDGE  
HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION  
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD  
DESERT RIDGE COMMUNITY  
ASSOCIATION  
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD  
AMERICAN MORTGAGE EXPRESS  
FINANCIAL  
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD  
PLAZA PROPERTIES L L C  
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD  
CINCO SOLES OWNERS  
ASSOCIATION  
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD  
SALVATORE GATTO PARTNERS L P  
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD  
TAMMY PERKOVIC  
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD  
MILE J PERKOVIC  
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD  
CITY OF PHOENIX  
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD  
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
JUVENILE DIVISION  
NO ADDRESS ON RECORD  
WOODMAR I V ASSOCIATION INC

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2014-094145

09/15/2014

NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

MINUTE ENTRY

On October 20, 2014, Plaintiff filed a “Motion for Alternative Service Upon Defendant’s Perkovick”. The Court makes note of the following:

- Alternative service need not be allowed unless the form of service requested constitutes reasonable efforts to ensure that actual notice of commencement of the action is provided to the person to be served. Plaintiff seeks authorization to serve Defendants at a Phoenix address.
- IT appears that Defendants are the owners of record of the subject address.
- Plaintiff has not convinced the Court that the subject address is Defendants’ current address or the address through which notice to Defendants can be best provided.<sup>1</sup>

Under the circumstances,

**IT IS ORDERED** denying the above identified motion.

---

<sup>1</sup> There is no indication that a skip trace was performed, that MVD records were accessed, that an inquiry was made of the post office, or that inquiries were made of the neighbors to the subject address.