

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2015-094118

09/22/2015

HON. ROBERT H. OBERBILLIG

CLERK OF THE COURT  
I. Ostrander  
Deputy

STEPHEN S EDWARDS

STEPHEN S EDWARDS  
1765 N LEMON ST  
MESA AZ 85205

v.

SANDRA L SMITH, et al.

AMANDA BREEMES

RONALD TOCCHINI

**RULING**

The Court has read *Defendant AAM, LLC and Sandra L. Smith's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint* electronically filed on August 6, 2015, *Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Dismissal* electronically filed on September 8, 2015, and *Defendant AAM, LLC's and Sandra L. Smith's Reply to Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss* electronically filed on September 21, 2015.

The Court is of the opinion that the parties have fully and adequately briefed the issue(s) in their pleadings and therefore oral argument would not assist the Court. Consequently, pursuant to Rule 7.1(c), Arizona Rule of Civil Procedure, the Court will resolve the motion without oral argument.

The Motion is well taken on the law as it applies to the allegations in Counts I and II.

**IT IS ORDERED** dismissing Counts I and II.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2015-094118

09/22/2015

As to the remaining counts, they are broadly written and do allege, in part, defamation that occurred unrelated to judicial proceedings.

**IT IS ORDERED** denying the Motion to Dismiss as to the remaining counts.