

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2025-018759

09/02/2025

HONORABLE DAVID MCDOWELL

CLERK OF THE COURT
S. Motzer
Deputy

BRIDGEWOOD NORTH 40 ASSOCIATION
INC

CHARLENE A CRUZ

v.

JOHNSON DEVCO L L C, et al.

JOHNSON DEVCO L L C
4606 N 40TH ST
PHOENIX AZ 85018

CHURCHILL M R A FUNDING I, L L C
1415 VANTAGE PARK DR STE 700
CHARLOTTE NC 28203
JUDGE MCDOWELL

MINUTE ENTRY

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's August 27, 2025 *Motion for an Order Allowing Alternative Service*. The Motion does not indicate efforts have been made to contact Defendants by mail, telephone, email, or social media to determine whether they would accept service or whether a time and location could be arranged for service. Alternative service is authorized under Rule 4.1(k) if the moving party shows that service under Rule 4.1(c) through (f) are impracticable. Plaintiff has not demonstrated that.

Whether traditional service is "impractical," defined as "extremely difficult or inconvenient" is a fact specific inquiry. See *Blair v. Burgener*, 226 Ariz. 213 (App. 2010). Plaintiff has not demonstrated that service is impractical in this case. Only a few attempts to serve Defendant were made over a short period of time. While Plaintiff makes a conclusory statement that Defendant is avoiding service, no fact set forth in the Motion support that claim. Plaintiff must demonstrate

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2025-018759

09/02/2025

some efforts to reach Defendants by email, mail, telephone, or social media to determine whether service will be accepted or whether a time can be arranged for service before the Court will authorize alternative service.

IT IS ORDERED denying Plaintiff's August 27, 2025 *Motion for an Order Allowing Alternative Service*.