

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-022683

10/06/2011

HONORABLE MICHAEL J. HERROD

CLERK OF THE COURT
M. Sahli
Deputy

BARBARA KERTMAN, et al.

BURTON ROSENBLATT

v.

CHETAN GUJRATHI, et al.

RICHARD A KENT

MARY G PRYOR
ANDREW E ROSENZWEIG

MINUTE ENTRY

8:32 a.m. In chambers. This is the time set for Telephonic Status Conference. All parties appear telephonically. Plaintiffs are represented by counsel, Burton Rosenblatt. Defendant Gujrathi, Simms and Arizona Otolaryngology are represented by counsel, Gordon Clevenger. Defendants St. Joseph's Hospital, Hopkin and Mullen are represented by counsel, Andrew Rosenzweig. Defendants Bliss and Desert Hospitalists are represented by counsel, Mary Pryor.

No court reporter is present.

Court and counsel discuss the status of the case.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-022683

10/06/2011

IT IS ORDERED setting a telephonic Status Conference for **February 17, 2012 at 8:45 a.m.** with **Plaintiff to initiate** call to this Division, telephone number 602-372-0359.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **3 days prior** to the Status Conference, the parties shall submit a written **Joint Notice of Statement of the Case** indicating the following:

- The status of the case;
- Compliance with the Court's deadlines,
- Issue(s) that they have resolved; and
- Any problems or motions to address.

Suggestions to conference call attendees calling from outside the court system:

- Do not use a speaker phone.
- Do not use a cell phone. If possible, use a desk phone.
- Avoid noisy areas.
- Mute phone when not speaking.
- State your name every time you address the Court.

Counsel are advised that the Court is available to discuss, by joint telephone call, discovery disputes or any other matter that may impact the parties' ability to resolve this case in a just, speedy, and inexpensive manner. See Rule 1, Ariz.R.Civ.P.

With respect to discovery disputes, counsel are also advised that, as the Court interprets Civil Rule 37(a)(2)(c), an exchange of correspondence between counsel is not sufficient to satisfy the "personal consultation" requirement of the Rule, except in extraordinary circumstances. At a minimum, counsel must speak to each other by telephone to attempt to resolve the dispute in good faith before involving the Court.

8:45 a.m. Matter concludes.

PLEASE NOTE: This courtroom is not an E-Courtroom and the division does not have an assigned court reporter. If a court reporter is required, the Court must receive a written request at least 3 court days before the commencement of the proceeding. Failure to timely request a court reporter will be deemed consent to proceed without a court reporter.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-022683

10/06/2011

NOTE: The parties are advised that failure to appear at a hearing may result in sanctions, including a Default Judgment.

ALERT: Effective September 1, 2011, the Arizona Supreme Court Administrative Order 2011-87 directs the Clerk's Office not to accept paper filings from attorneys in civil cases. Civil cases must still be initiated on paper; however, subsequent documents must be eFiled through AZTurboCourt unless an exception defined in the Administrative Order applies.