

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2014-090103

09/30/2016

HONORABLE DAVID M. TALAMANTE

CLERK OF THE COURT
M. Kay
Deputy

TAPESTRY ON CENTRAL L L C, et al.

RYAN J LORENZ

v.

TAPESTRY ON CENTRAL CONDOMINIUM
ASSOCIATION, et al.

KEVIN P NELSON

MINUTE ENTRY

In preparing for the currently scheduled oral argument on October 6, 2016, the Court notes the still pending Plaintiff's Motion for Clarification; Motion for Reconsideration, Motion for New Trial Re: Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Fifth Claim for Relief Re: Breach of Contract dated July 29, 2016 and the Defendant's "Response" to Plaintiff's "Motion to Extend". This Response relates to Defendant's Motion in Limine which is the Motion scheduled for oral argument on October 6, 2016.

Initially, the Court assumed that no "reply" would be filed relating to the Motion in Limine but that has been changed by the additional filings of these parties.

IT IS ORDERED vacating the Oral Argument re: Defendant's Motion in Limine set for October 6, 2016 at 11:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED directing Defendant to file a response to Plaintiff's Motion for Clarification; Motion for Reconsideration, Motion for New Trial Re: Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Fifth Claim for Relief Re: Breach of Contract no later than **October 17, 2016**. Defendant may file a reply on or before **November 4, 2016**.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2014-090103

09/30/2016

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED directing Plaintiff to file a “reply” in support its request for relief under Rule 37(c)(2) on or before **October 17, 2016**.

After receipt of these memorandums, the Court will determine if further oral argument is necessary on any of the pending motions.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED directing the parties not to file any additional motions/memoranda with the Court without being granted leave to do so with the exception of the pleadings noted above.