

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2013-004384

12/03/2013

HONORABLE LISA DANIEL FLORES

CLERK OF THE COURT  
S. Uppendahl  
Deputy

LYNN S GARCIA

MACK T JONES

v.

SOUTHERN RIDGE CONDOMINIUM  
ASSOCIATION, et al.

CHRISTOPHER D STICKLAND

**ORDER TO FILE JOINT PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's Motion for Comprehensive Pretrial Conference, electronically filed on November 1, 2013. Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED** as follows:

Counsel and/or the parties are to meet personally to discuss all of the matters set forth in Rule 16(b), Ariz. R. Civ. P. Counsel and/or the parties shall prepare and file with the Court, no later than **5:00 p.m.** on **January 2, 2014**, a Joint Scheduling Order, for discovery, motion and disclosure deadlines.

If the parties agree to the dates, they should prepare an Order **in the form attached hereto**, containing the provisions which are applicable to their case. For example, paragraph one of the Order set forth below need not be included in the parties' proposed Order if the parties intend to disclose their experts' identity and opinions at the same time they disclose their experts' areas of testimony. Similarly, if the parties agree to simultaneously disclose the identity and opinions of their expert witnesses, they need not include in their proposed Order the

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2013-004384

12/03/2013

language set forth in paragraph 2a. and b., below.

The proposed Order shall include specific dates (June 1, 2013, rather than 45 days from close of discovery). Do not incorporate a firm trial date in the proposed Order.

**If counsel are unable to agree on any of the items that are to be included in the Order, the reasons for their inability to agree shall be set forth in a separate document and not in the proposed Order.**

The Court will review the proposed Scheduling Order. If all is in order, the Court will set a status conference close to the discovery cutoff date. At the status conference, if the parties have completed discovery and are ready for trial, the Court will set firm dates for the final pretrial management conference and the trial. If the parties are not ready for trial, the matter will be placed on the Inactive Calendar for dismissal within 60 days.

If counsel feel a pretrial conference is still necessary at this stage of the litigation, they should address the reasons why in the first paragraph of the proposed Scheduling Order.

If a Joint Scheduling Order is not timely submitted, the Court will place the matter back on the Inactive Calendar for dismissal.

**NOTE: COUNSEL SHALL UPLOAD AND E-FILE ALL PROPOSED ORDERS IN WORD FORMAT ONLY TO ALLOW FOR POSSIBLE MODIFICATIONS BY THE COURT.**

ALERT: eFiling through AZTurboCourt.gov is mandatory in civil cases for attorney-filed documents effective May 1, 2011. See Arizona Supreme Court Administrative Orders 2010-117 and 2011-010. The Court may impose sanctions against counsel to ensure compliance with this requirement after May 1, 2011.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2013-004384

12/03/2013

**JOINT SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court has reviewed the parties' Joint Scheduling Order and adopts and/or modifies the days as follows:

**IT IS ORDERED** as follows:

1. The parties shall mutually and simultaneously disclose areas of expert testimony by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013. [or]**
  - a. Plaintiffs shall disclose areas of expert testimony by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**
  - b. Defendants shall disclose areas of expert testimony by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**
2. The parties shall mutually and simultaneously disclose the identity and opinions of their expert witnesses by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013. [or]**
  - a. Plaintiffs shall disclose the identity and opinions of their expert witnesses by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**
  - b. Defendants shall disclose the identity and opinions of their expert witnesses by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**
3. Any and all discovery requests shall be served by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**
4. The parties shall disclose all non-expert witnesses by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013. [or]**
  - a. Plaintiffs shall disclose areas of non-expert testimony by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**
  - b. Defendants shall disclose areas of non-expert testimony by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**
5. The parties shall mutually and simultaneously disclose their rebuttal expert

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2013-004384

12/03/2013

witnesses and opinions by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**

6. All discovery shall be concluded by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**
7. The parties shall have exchanged up-to-date final Rule 26.1 Supplemental Disclosure Statements by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.** This Order does not replace the parties' obligation to seasonably disclose on an on-going basis under Rule 26.1 as information becomes available.
8. The parties shall file dispositive motions no later than \_\_\_\_\_, 2013;
9. Settlement conference (choose one):

The parties shall participate in private mediation by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013;**

**OR**

The parties shall participate in a mandatory Settlement Conference. This case is referred to the Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution for the appointment of a judge *pro tempore* to conduct a settlement conference. Counsel and/or the parties will receive a minute entry from ADR appointing the judge *pro tempore*. Counsel and any "pro per" parties will contact the appointed judge *pro tempore* to arrange the date, time, and location for the settlement conference. The judge *pro tempore* is requested to conduct a settlement conference not later than (at least 90 days out), 2013. The Office of Alternative Dispute Resolution will not do the scheduling of the settlement conference so please do not contact that office. If counsel prefer to use a private mediator to conduct the Settlement Conference, a Stipulation and Order re: Alternative to ADR must be presented to the Court by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**

**All counsel and their clients, or non-lawyer representatives who have full and complete authority to settle the case, shall personally appear and participate in good faith in the Settlement Conference. Sanctions may be imposed for failure to participate.**

10. No expert witnesses, expert opinions, lay witnesses, or exhibits shall be used at trial other than those disclosed in a timely manner, except for good cause shown or written agreement of the parties.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2013-004384

12/03/2013

11. All pretrial motions, other than motions *in limine*, must be filed by **5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013**. This includes requests for Rule 702/Daubert hearings.
12. For trial or any evidentiary hearing, exhibits shall be submitted to the clerk a minimum of five days prior to the scheduled trial or evidentiary hearing.
13. A **Telephonic Status Conference** is set for \_\_\_\_\_, 2013, at \_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m. in this division for the purpose of determining whether the case is ready for trial and, if appropriate, to set trial. Counsel shall have their trial calendars available. Counsel for Plaintiff shall initiate the telephonic conference by first arranging the presence of all other counsel on the conference call and by calling this division at: **(602) 372-0825 promptly** at the scheduled time.

**NOTE: This Court utilizes FTR for an electronic record of the proceedings. However, any party may request the presence of a court reporter by contacting the division three (3) court business days before the scheduled hearing.**

14. Should any discovery disputes arise, counsel, prior to filing discovery motions, shall meet and confer pursuant to Rule 37, Ariz.R.Civ.P.
15. The dates set forth in this Order are FIRM dates and will not be extended or modified by this Court absent good cause. Lack of preparation will not ordinarily be considered good cause.
16. This case is removed from the Inactive Calendar and all requirements of Rule 38.1, Ariz.R.Civ.P., are waived unless and until otherwise ordered by the Court.

Dated:

HONORABLE LISA DANIEL FLORES  
JUDICIAL OFFICER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2013-004384

12/03/2013

ALERT: The Arizona Supreme Court Administrative Order 2011-140 directs the Clerk's Office not to accept paper filings from attorneys in civil cases. Civil cases must still be initiated on paper; however, subsequent documents must be eFiled through AZTurboCourt unless an exception defined in the Administrative Order applies.